IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Michael Alonza Rufus,) Case No. 6:24-cv-05459-DCC
Petitioner,)
V.)) ORDER
Director Raleigh Residential Reentry Management Office,)))
Respondent.)
)

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. ECF No. 1. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On October 25, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the petition be dismissed. ECF No. 10. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences for failing to do so. Petitioner has not filed objections to the Report and the time to do so has lapsed.

APPLICABLE LAW AND ANALYSIS

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The

6:24-cv-05459-DCC Date Filed 01/14/25 Entry Number 15 Page 2 of 2

Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the

Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or

modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating

that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

As noted above, Petitioner did not file objections to the Report. Upon review for

clear error, the Court agrees with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. The

petition is **DISMISSED** without prejudice, without leave to amend, and without requiring

Respondent to file a return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr.
United States District Judge

January 14, 2025 Spartanburg, South Carolina

2